

CONTENTS

Byzantine Philosophy: A formative period

Prologue (5) • Acknowledgements (9) • Introduction (11) • What “Byzantine Philosophy” is? (11) • Chronology and periodization (12)

I

EARLY CHRISTIAN PRELUDE

CHAPTER 1

CONCEPTUALIZING OF THE CHRISTIAN DOCTRINE IN THE NEW TESTAMENT EPOCH

1.1. Christian origins within the diversified Jewish religious world (21) • 1.2. The notion of the “Messianic movement” (22) • 1.3. “Messianic” ascetics (23) • 1.4. The notion of “Priestly theology” (24) • 1.5. Two types of the “Priestly theology” and two types of Temple: “historical” and eschatological ones (27) • 1.6. Main theological ideas of the “eschatological” Priestly tradition, as adopted by the Christianity (33)

1.6.1. Conception I: Messiah as Temple of God (34) • 1.6.2. Conception II: Messiah as Son of God (37) • 1.6.3. Discussion (38)

CHAPTER 2

PHILOSOPHICAL THOUGHT IN THE PRE-NICEAN CHRISTIAN THEOLOGY

1.1. Council of Nicea (40) • 1.2. What means “pre-Nicean”? (40) 2.1. Apostolic Fathers: Jewish theology without “translation” into Greek (41) • 2.1.1. Main theological concepts in Apostolic Fathers (43) • 2.1.2. Main features of the early Christian theology (47) • 2.2. Theology of early Christian apologists (48)

2.2.1. Logos conception in the apologists (50) • 2.2.2. Novation and tradition in the Logos doctrine of the apologists (52) • 2.2.3. External and internal logoi doctrine in the apologists (53)

2.3. St Irenaeus of Lyon and Christian theology in the 3rd century (55)

2.3.1. Irenaeus of Lyon on the Trinity (56) • 2.3.2. Novation and tradition in Irenaeus of Lyon’s triadology (57)

2.4. Outline of some triadological doctrines of the 3rd—early 4th centuries (58)

II

WHERE "NATURE" AND "HYPOSTASIS" BEGUN

CHAPTER 1

CONCEPTS OF THE TRIADOLOGICAL POLEMICS IN THE 4TH CENTURY

1. Introductory notes on the orthodox theology in the 4th and 5th centuries (62) •
2. Christian Trinity doctrine in the 4th century (64) • 2.1. First Ecumenical Council (Nicea, 325 AD): concepts of homoousios and hypostasis (64) • 2.2. Relations between the Orthodox and the Arians in the middle of the 4th century and the problem of the conciliar dogmatical definitions (67) • 2.3. Doctrine of the homoiousians (69) • 2.4. Doctrine of the Eunomians (anomoieans) (70) • 2.5. The early stage of the anti-Arian polemics (before Eunomius); methods of demonstration (72) • 2.6. Salvation doctrine; St Gregory of Nazianze (75) • 2.7. The heresy of Apollinarius and its refutation in St Gregory of Nazianze (77) • 2.8. Definitions of the notions "essense" and "hypostasis": Cappadocian Fathers (80) • 2.9. Divinity of the Holy Spirit: Basile of Caesarea, Gregory of Nazianze, heresy of Macedonius and Second Ecumenical Council (85) • 2.10. Doctrine of the cognizability of God and doctrine of God as Trinity (88)
 - 2.10.1. Hypostasis: its incognizability by the essence and cognizability by energies (88) • 2.10.2. Energy and name; energy as a "movement of the essence" (90) •
 - 2.10.3. Hypostasis as τρόπος υπάρξεως ("tropos of existence") of the essence (92) •
 - 2.10.4. The dogma of the "monarchy" of Father within the Holy Trinity (94) •
 - 2.10.5. The problem of "order" of the hypostases within the Holy Trinity (95) •
 - 2.10.6. Energy of essence and idioma of hypostasis (98)
- 2.11. Trinity doctrine: main contents and conceptual apparatus (99)
 - 2.11.1. Main theological concepts (99) • 2.11.2. Main notions (100)

CHAPTER 2

PHILOSOPHICAL CONCEPTS IN THE CHRISTOLOGICAL POLEMICS
OF THE 5TH CENTURY

1. General outline of the period (102) • 1.1. General outline of the polemics against the Platonism (103) • 1.2. General outline of the Christological polemics (105) •
2. Third Ecumenical Council and its time (106) • 2.1. From Theodore of Mop-suestia to Nestorius (108) • 2.2. Third Ecumenical Council (109) • 2.3. Theology of St Cyril of Alexandria (110) • 2.4. Theology of Nestorius after his condemnation (113) • 3. From the Council of Chalcedon to the *Henotikon* of Zeno (116) •
- 3.1. Background of Chalcedon (116) • 3.2. Doctrine of Eutychius and doctrine of Dioscorus (117) • 3.3. Doctrine of St Leo the Great, Pope of Rome (118) • 3.4. Definition (horos) of the Council of Chalcedon (119) • 3.5. Chalcedonian Council through the eyes of the contemporaries (121) • 3.6. *Henotikon* of Zeno (123) •
4. Theology in the 5th century, to sum up (125)

III
EARLY BYZANTINE THEOLOGY

CHAPTER I
6TH CENTURY: CHRISTIAN THEOLOGY
IN THE LABYRINTHS OF THE METAPHYSICS (129)

1. General outline of the period (130) • 1.1. Source-critical problems (130) • 1.2. The nature of the dogmatic polemics in the 6th century (131) • 1.3. Reference frame of the configurational space of the dogmatic polemics (133) • 2. The features of the monophysite theology before its main schisms (135) • 2.1. A difference between the monophysite theology and that of Cyril: Philoxenus of Mabbog (135) • 2.2. Two treatments of the difference between Jesus and us: Severus of Antioch, John the Grammarian of Caesarea, and a “differing view” of Leontius of Jerusalem (138) • Two treatments of the difference between Jesus and us: Severus of Antioch and John the Grammarian of Caesarea • 3. Monosubjectness of Christ in the Chalcedonite theology (142) • 3.1. “Theopaschism” against crypto-Nestorianism: Justinian the Great (143)
 - 3.1.1. “Theopaschite” quarrel (143) • 3.1.2. St Emperor Justinian and the Synod of 536 (145) • 3.1.3. Fifth Ecumenical Council: condemnation of the “three chapters” (149)
- 3.2. From the Origenism of Evagrius to an Origenism without Evagrius (152)
 - 3.2.1. Origenism at the early 6th century. Evagrius’ doctrine (152) • 3.2.2. Origenist quarrel in Palestine: isochrists and protoctists (155) • 3.2.3. Leontius of Byzantium (158) • 3.2.4. After 553, to sum up: beginning of an “anonymous” Origenism (162)
- 3.3. Heresy of the agnoetes and an anthropological side of the unity of the subject in Christ (163)
 - 3.3.1. Heresy of the agnoetes: Themistius, Theodor, Pseudo-Caesarius (164) • 3.3.2. Mono- and dyo-energism before the 540s: Problem of Leontius of Jerusalem (166) • 3.3.3. Mono- or dyo-energism? Justinian and the Fifth Ecumenical Council (169)
- 3.4. Fifth Ecumenical Council and its epoch: to sum up (176) • 4. The main schism within the monophysite camp: Severianism and Julianism (177) • 4.1. General background of the polemics on the incorruptibility of the body of Christ (180) • 4.2. Polemics between Severus and Julian personally (181)
 - 4.2.1. Difference of Severus’ attitude comparing with his predecessors (182) • 4.2.2. Difference of Julian’s attitude comparing with his predecessors and followers (184) • 4.2.3. Difference of Severus’ attitude comparing with his followers (187)
 - 4.2.3.1. Severus’ doctrine on the death of Christ and the Eucharist: a return to the Nestorianism (187) • 4.2.3.2. A doctrine on the death of Christ in the Latin tradition of the two-subject Christology (189) • 4.2.3.3. The Severians in search of a way out of the dead ends of Severus’ doctrine (191)

- 4.3. Four anthropological models and five soteriologies: Julian, Augustinus, Severus, Pelagius, and East Patristics' tradition (194) • 4.4. Severianism and Julianism after the mutual polemics (200)
- 4.4.1. A transformation of the Severianism; Probas and John Barbur (200) • 4.4.2. A transformation of the Julianism; actitism (202)
- 4.5. Results of the anti-Julianist polemics for the Severians and the Chalcedonians: strengthening of the Origenists (207) • 5. Second great schism within the monophysite camp: triadological quarrels (209) • 5.1. The beginning of the heresy of the Tritheists and John Philoponus (211) • 5.2. John Philoponus as philosopher and as monophysite theologian (215) • 5.3. Tritheism of John Philoponus (221) • 5.4. A schism between Alexandria and Antioch (223) • 5.5. Two more Trinity doctrines: Petrus of Callinicum and Damian of Alexandria (226) • 5.6. Further explications of the notion of hypostasis: St Eulogius of Alexandria (230) • 5.7. Further ways of the monophysite triadology (234) • 5.8. An "actitism" from within the Severianism: Stephanus Gobar (236) • 5.9. Schism between the Cononites and Athanasians; Origenism of John Philoponus (241)
- 5.9.1. History and conceptual background of the schism (241) • 5.9.2. Philoponus' doctrine on the resurrection (243)
- 5.10. Filiation of the severianist sects in the 6th century (248) • 6. Philoponus' Origenism within the Chalcedonian camp: Eutychius of Constantinople (248) • 6.1. The edict on apthartodocetism by Justinian (250)
- 6.1.1. Edict's publication and reception (250) • 6.1.2. Incorruptibility of the body of Christ in the orthodox tradition in the first half of the 6th century: St Ephrem of Amida (256) • 6.1.3. Eutychius of Constantinople on the incorruptibility of the body of Christ and on the Eucharist (258) • 6.1.4. Eutychius on the incarnation, the Trinity and a "phantasiatism" (261) • 6.1.5. Some precisions to Grillmeier's reconstruction of the contents of the edict of Justinian (267)
- 6.2. Origenism of Eutychius: his resurrection doctrine (269) • 6.3. Eutychius on the energies and wills in Christ (271) • 7. A monotheletic Origenism (275) • 7.1. Constantine of Apamea's case at the Sixth Ecumenical Council (276) • 7.2. Symeon of Kenneshrin on the heresy of an Origenist Theodore (278) • 7.3. The main phases of the development of the monotheletic Origenism (281) • 8. 6th century, to sum up (282) • 8.1. 6th century as the key epoch for the middle age theology (282) • 8.2. Chalcedonians and Severians: perspectives for a mutual approaching (284) • 8.3. Main internal contradictions within the Chalcedonian milieu (286) • 8.4. Main contradictions among the monophysites (287) • 8.5. Conclusion (288)

CHAPTER 2

THEOLOGICAL SYNTHESIS IN THE 7TH CENTURY:
ST MAXIMUS THE CONFESSOR AND HIS EPOCH

1. Historical conditions (289) • 2. An external history of the monotheletic union (292) • 2.1. Personality of the Patriarch Sergius (292) • 2.2. A Church union with the monophysites in Armenia, the *Quinisextum* Council (293) • 2.3. Monotheletic union: a “history of ideas” through the history of Church (296) • 2.4. Victory of theology over philosophy: a rare type of conflict in the “history of ideas” (309) • 2.5. St Maximus the Confessor: a sketch of the historiography (310) • 3. Monotheletic dogmatics and its roots (314) • 3.1. The roots of the monothelism in the dogmatic tradition of the 6th century (315)
 - 3.1.1. The state of the monotheletic Origenism (316) • 3.1.2. The main thesis of the monothelism: energy belongs to hypostasis (319) • 3.1.3. The unity of the consciousness of Christ as a ground of the monothelism (323)
- 3.2. The problem of divisibility of the divisible: discussions on the human nature (328)
 - 3.2.1. A concept of the “particular nature” as a specific kind of the realism in philosophy (331) • 3.2.2. “Particular essences” in the Christology: a review of main implications (333) • 3.2.3. Leontius of Byzantium against John Philoponus (334)
 - 3.2.3.1. Acephalus’ argumentation (that of John Philoponus) (338) • 3.2.3.2. Leontius of Byzantium’s argumentation (341)
 - 3.2.4. Conclusion: a necessity to create a specific Christian philosophical ontology (346)
4. Theology of St Maximus the Confessor (348) • 4.1. “Tropos of existence” instead of “particular nature” (349)
 - 4.1.1. Particular being of the second essences: hypostasis, and not a particular nature (349) • 4.1.2. Nature within hypostasis: “tropos of existence” (351) • 4.1.3. Troposes of existence: from the being to the eternal well being (355)
 - 4.1.3.1. Being—well being / foe being—eternal well being (355) • 4.1.3.2. What means “tropos of God being” (358) • 4.1.3.3. Tropos of existence and hypostatic idioma (363)
 - 4.1.4. A new philosophical ontology: potential being, actual theosis (366)
 - 4.1.4.1. Logoses of God in the creation (368) • 4.1.4.2. Logoses and Logos (372) • 4.1.4.3. “Eternally actualized” incarnation of Christ, both BC and AD (374)
- 4.2. Tropos of existence and energy of nature (377)
 - 4.2.1. Tropos of energy and activity (praxis) of hypostases (378) • 4.2.2. Break off with the verbal monotheletism: distinction between “energy” and “praxis” (379) • 4.2.3. An “active passivity” of the human will in Christ (380) • 4.2.4. Theosis as a movement of the image to the archetype: “one (common) energy” of God and saints (385) • 4.2.5. “One (common) energy” of God and saints as stopping of the synergy (388) • 4.2.6. A theory of willing act: will of nature and gnomic will (391) • 4.2.7. Gnomic will in the Christology (396)
 - 4.2.7.1. Sin as a corruption of the choosing capability and its rectification in Christ (397) • 4.2.7.2. The lack of the human choosing capability and of the gnomic will in Christ (399) • 4.2.7.3. Nestorian Christology as a model for the ortho-

- dox understanding of the thesis of man (400) • 4.2.7.4. The number of wills in Christ, in man and in deified man (404)
- 4.2.8. “One (common) will” of God and saints as actualizing of being in God (404)
5. The ways of the Byzantine theology after St Maximus (405)

CHAPTER 3

ICONOCLASM AND ICON VENERATION.

BYZANTINE THEOLOGY IN THE 8TH AND 9TH CENTURIES

1. Introductory notes (407) • 1.1. From the historiography of the iconoclasm (409) • 1.2. From the symbolical pictures to the icon cult (411) • 1.3. Historical canvas of the iconoclastic quarrels (416)
- 1.3.1. First period of iconoclasm (726/730–787) (416) • 1.3.2. Second period of iconoclasm (815–843) (419)
- 1.4. Iconoclasm and icon veneration: theory and practice (423)
- 1.4.1. Situation in the Latin West (424) • 1.4.2. Icon veneration and its transformations among the Nestorians and monophysites (426) • 1.4.3. The theology of icon veneration spoiling before spin: Hypatius of Ephesus (428)
2. Theology of icon veneration: 8th century (430) • 2.1. Church symbols as a “precise matter” (431) • 2.2. Incarnation of God going further into the matter (432)
- 2.2.1. Divinity in the body of Christ and in the bodies of the saints (433) • 2.2.2. The characters of Christ and of saints is deified, too (434) • 2.2.3. Icon and Name of God (437)
- 2.2.3.1. Doctrine of John of Damascus (437) • 2.2.3.2. Doctrine of the Seventh Ecumenical Council (439) • 2.2.3.3. Icon and name (442)
- 2.3. 8th century argumentation for the icon veneration, to sum up (444) • 3. Theology of iconoclasts (445) • 3.1. The flesh of Christ is not deified (446) • 3.2. Resurrected body of Christ and Eucharist (451)
- 3.2.1. Difference between two iconoclastic doctrines: dogmatic or pastoral one? (451) • 3.2.2. Iconoclasm of the 9th century on the body of Christ before and after the resurrection (452) • 3.2.3. Iconoclasm of the 8th century on the body of Christ before and after the resurrection (454)
- 3.2.3.1. An estimation of reliability of the proposed interpretation (457)
- 3.2.4. Conclusions on the difference between the two iconoclastic doctrines (460) • 3.2.5. Iconoclastic doctrine on the Eucharist (461)
- 3.2.5.1. “Iconoclastic consensus” (461) • 3.2.5.2. Specific features of the doctrine of Constantine Copronymus (463)
- 3.2.6. Some conclusions: specific features of the 8th century iconoclastic doctrine (466) • 3.2.7. John the Grammarian: a “theological synthesis” of the iconoclasm (466)
- 3.2.7.1. Indescribability of the body of Christ only after the resurrection (467) • 3.2.7.2. Humanity of Christ as having no character (471) • 3.2.7.3. Human individual features of Jesus (474)

3.3. General conclusions concerning the iconoclastic doctrine (475) • 4. Theology of icon veneration in the 9th century (475) • 4.1. Continuation of the theological themes of the 8th century (476)

4.1.1. Possibility of icon as a necessity (476) • 4.1.2. Presence and describability of the divinity within the Church symbol (477) • 4.1.3. Representability of the angels (478) • 4.1.4. “Image of God” as icon and as man (479)

4.2. Christological problems (481)

4.2.1. Patriarch Nicephorus: completeness of human features of the body of Christ (481) • 4.2.2. Theodor Studites: it is hypostasis that is depicted on the icon (483) • 4.2.3. “Nature” + “hypostatic idiomes” ≠ “hypostasis” (484) •

4.2.4. Christological polemics against the iconoclasts: to sum up (485)

CHAPTER 4

AN EPILOGUE IN THE MIDDLE BYZANTINE PERIOD:

LEO OF CHALCEDON AND EUSTRATIUS OF NICEA

1. Byzantine theology from the 9th to the 11th century (487) • 1.1. Rupture with Rome: a view from Byzantium of 9th–12th centuries (488) • 1.2. Inner-Byzantine theological problems (492) • 2. Michael Psellus and an attempt of “rehabilitation” of Proclus (494) • 3. Leo of Chalcedon and Eustratius of Nicea (497) • 3.1. The icon in the doctrine of Leo of Chalcedon (500)

3.1.1. Icon: matter on the place of character, character on the place of God (500) •

3.1.3. Christology: enhypostasizing of character instead of the body of

Christ (502) • 3.1.3. “Accidentalization” of the body of Christ (502) • 3.1.4. All the

characters are accidental, except the “theohypostatic” one (504) • 3.1.5. Conclusions concerning Leo of Chalcedon’s doctrine (505)

3.2. Icon and humanity of Christ in the doctrine of Eustratius of Nicea (506)

3.2.1. An iconoclastic argument on the service of the icon veneration (507) •

3.2.2. “Accidentalization” of the hypostatic idiomes of Christ according to the

humanity (508) • 3.2.3. Christ: human nature without hypostatic idiomes (509) •

3.2.4. “Accidentalization” of the humanity of Christ (510) • 3.2.5. Humanity of

Christ as a “particular nature” (511) • 3.2.6. Nature on the position of hyposta-

sis (512) • 3.2.7. Conclusions concerning Eustratius’ theology (513)

4. Further ways of the Byzantine theology (515)

Addenda

I Leontius of Jerusalem—an author of the 7th century (517)

II Maximus Confessor and two Leontii (522)

III LeoIII and iconoclasm of the Armenian monophysits (526)

Postface (530) • Methodological post-scriptum and just a little on John Meyendorff (533) • Index of names (539)